

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/643,695	08/18/2003	Gerhard Hugenschutt	364/114	5097
7:	07/30/2004		EXAMINER	
KENYON & KENYON			LIN, ING HOUR	
One Broadway New York, NY 10004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1725	

DATE MAILED: 07/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			mo			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/643,695	HUGENSCHUTT ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ing-Hour Lin	1725				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailling date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be t y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron . cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ays will be considered timely. The mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. & 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 A	<u>ugust 2003</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	I53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.	·					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement					
and subject to restriction and/or	cicción requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		• •).			
	arminor. Note the attached Office	e Action of form F 10-132.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		tion No				
3.⊠ Copies of the certified copies of the prior						
application from the International Bureau		·				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receiv	ed.				
Attackmount(a)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	√(PTO_413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	oate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>0709</u> .	5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/643,695

Art Unit: 1725

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 1-5, 10-15 and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grove in view of Bower et al.

Grove (col. 4, lines 23+) teaches the claimed liquid-cooled mold for the continuous casting of metal, comprising mold members having copper facing plates 28 and steel supporting plates 32, wherein the disc-shaped spring washer 56 and gaskets of steel or foam 58 are used

Application/Control Number: 10/643,695

Art Unit: 1725

under nut 60 for fastening mounting studs 50 for the purpose of allowing three dimensional displacements of the copper facing plates 28 and steel supporting plates 32 and for minimizing the thermal stress exerted on the copper facing plates 28 by the steel supporting plates 32.

Grove fails to teach the use of friction-reducing material.

However, Bower et al (col. 2, lines 8+) teach the use of friction-reducing material including Teflon, graphite and molybdenum desulfide for the purpose of effectively reducing the coefficient of friction opposing relative movement between copper facing plates 10 and steel supporting plates 12 to a maximum value of about 0.1. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide Grove the friction-reducing material as taught by Bower et al in order to improve the relative movement between the copper facing plates and steel supporting plates.

4. Claim 16 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grove in view of Bower et al and further in view of Korpela and O'Reilly et al.

Grove in view of Bower et al fails to teach the use of concave-shaped sliding member and a rocker disk. However, Korpela (col. 7, lines 7+) teaches the use of concave-shaped sliding member (see Fig. 11) for purpose of effectively fastening the copper facing plates and steel supporting plates. Further, O'Reilly et al (col. 4, lines 10+) teach the use of a rocker disk (platform body) 23 having a spherical supporting surface with curvature corresponding to the concave shape of a discrete recess member 27 for the purpose of enhancing sliding motion (col. 4, lines 66+). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide Grove in view of Bower et al the use of concave-shaped sliding member and a rocker disk as

Application/Control Number: 10/643,695

Art Unit: 1725

taught, respectively by Korpela and O'Reilly et al in order to further improve the relative movement between the copper facing plates and steel supporting plates.

5. Claims 6-9 and 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grove in view of Korpela and further in view of O'Reilly et al.

Grove fails to teach the use of concave-shaped sliding member and a rocker disk.

However, Korpela (col. 7, lines 7+) teaches the use of concave-shaped sliding member (see Fig. 11) for purpose of effectively fastening the copper facing plates and steel supporting plates.

Further, O'Reilly et al (col. 4, lines 10+) teach the use of a rocker disk (platform body) 23 having a spherical supporting surface with curvature corresponding to the concave shape of a discrete recess member 27 for the purpose of enhancing sliding motion (col. 4, lines 66+). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide Grove the use of concave-shaped sliding member and a rocker disk as taught, respectively by Korpela and O'Reilly et al in order to further improve the relative movement between the copper facing plates and steel supporting plates.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ing-Hour Lin whose telephone number is (571) 272-1180. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00-5:30) Second Friday Off.

Art Unit: 1725

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Dunn can be reached on (571) 272-1171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

& Rdin

I.-H.Lin

7-9-04

TOM DUNN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700